

Data Sharing Assessment

Γ	Insert information in boxes below					
Dataset name:		Circuit Data				
Dataset representation:		Circuit Data				
Openness Result:		Shared				
Assessment completed by:		Lee Saville				
Date DSA completed:		09/01/2024				
Approved for sharing by:		P Lawson				
Date of approval:		05/06/2024				
Form Completeness		Complete				
	Initial Risk	Mitigation	Posidual Risk			

Form C	Completeness		Complete	
		Initial Risk	Mitigation	Residual Risk
	Privacy	Green		Green
	Security	Amber	Restrict Access - Shared Data	Green
	Commercial	Green		Green
Negative Cons	sumer Impact	Green		Green
	Other	Green		Green
	0,100,10		4000/	
C	Green		100%	
Summary	Amber		0%	
	Red		0%	
Openness Recomi	mondation		Shared	
openness Neconn	illelidation		Silareu	
Recommendation	n Notes			

------ This document is marked as confidential

# Question	Guidance	Response	Initial Risk	Decision Tool Response	Guidance	Supporting Information and Notes	Mitigation Category	Residual Risk
Does NGED own or have a legal right to publish the data?	Has NGED (or a contracted third party) has generated or collected the data? In some cases where data has been shared with NGED by the data owner, there may be rights (via data licencing) or obligations (e.g. Environmental Information Regulations or DCUSA Embedded Capacity Registers) which provide legal grounds for publication of the dataset or derived dataset. Where a dataset combines owned and shared data this should be recorded as shared data.	Yes: Data Owner	Green	Complete initial and residual risk assessment and proceed to next check				Green
Privacy								
Does the dataset contain personal data?	Consider if sharing this dataset infringes the General Data Protection Regulation (the GDPR) or the Data Protection Act 2018. [1]' Note: Additional guidance is given in LE5 - Data Protection Policy	No	Green	Complete initial and residual risk assessment and proceed to next check				Green
Security						<u> </u>		
Does this dataset contain information that creates an incremental security risk or exasperate an existing risk	TOLSUUDIN UJSHIDUHUH GOOF "EHOHEEHHO NECOHHIEHDAHOH EZT SECUTIV OLASSEIS SECUTIV OLASSEIS	Yes but mitigation in place	Amber	Capture evidence and reason for mitigation in the 'Supporting Information' column and proceed to next check.		Access restricted to registered users only	Restrict Access - Shared Data	Green
Commercial								
Does the dataset contain information that could damage the commercial interests of the company?	Data Best Practice Guidance described commercial information as "Data that relates to the private administration of a business or data which was not collected as part of an obligation / by a regulated monopoly and would not have been originated or captured without the activity of the organisation". Commercial issues could also arise due to prior data licencing which would preclude sharing the same data under different terms (e.g. exclusivity agreement, confidentiality agreement), data which is (or could be interpreted as) inconsistent with regulatory reporting or could be seen as exploiting NGED's licenced role (e.g. could undermine the Competition Act 1998 [3], Enterprise Act 2002 [4] or Enterprise and Regulatory Reform Act 2013 [5])	No		Complete initial and residual risk assessment and proceed to next check				Green
Negative Consumer Impact								
Would sharing this dataset result in a negative impact on consumers?		No		Complete initial and residual risk assessment and proceed to next check				Green
Other								
Are there any other concerns associated with sharing this dataset not covered above?	If there are any other issues that require mitigation before publishing your dataset that haven't been addressed by the questions above, please clearly state the reason(s) in the 'Supporting information' cell. For example, specific legislative or regulatory barriers.	No	Green	Complete initial and residual risk assessment and proceed to next check				Green
Is a dataset quality caveat required for end users?	Data quality is subjective. A dataset may be perfectly acceptable for one use case but entirely inadequate for another. Data accuracy can be more objective but there remain many instances where the required precision differs across use cases. Data quality should not be seen as a reason for not sharing as potential users may find the quality acceptable for their use, find ways to handle the quality issues or develop ways to solve issues which can improve the quality of the underlying data. However, known limitations (quality or accuracy) should be clearly documented and where there are uncertainties a robust quality disclaimer should be used.	No	Green	Complete initial and residual risk assessment and proceed to next check				Green