## national**grid**

Form Completeness

## **Data Sharing Assessment**

	Insert information in boxes below
Dataset name:	Common Information Model South Wales
Dataset representation:	XML
Openness Result:	Shared
Assessment completed by:	P Lawson
Date DSA completed:	05/06/2024
Approved for sharing by:	P Lawson
Date of approval:	05/06/2024

Green		
		Green
Red	Restrict Access - Shared Data	Amber
Green		Green
Green		Green
Green		Green
	Green Green	Green Green

	Green	86%
Summary	Amber	14%
	Red	0%

Openness Recommendation	Shared
Recommendation Notes	

=	Question	Guidance	Response	Initial Risk	Decision Tool Response	Guidance	Supporting Information and Notes	Mitigation Category	Residual Risk
O III	oes NGED own or have a ggal right to publish the ata?	Has NGED (or a contracted third painly) has generated or collected the data?  In some cases where data has been shared with NGED by the data owner, there may be rights (via data isorroring) or obligations (e.g. Environmental Hormation Regulations or DCUSA Enthodated Capacity Registers) which provide large Journal for publication of the distance for derived dataset. Where a dataset contrinse owned and shared data the should be recorded as othered dataset.	Yes: Data Owner	Green	Complete initial and residual risk assessment and proceed to next check				Green
	oes the dataset contain ersonal data?	Consider I sharing this dataset infringes the General Data Protection Regulation (the GDPR) or the Data Protection Act 2016. (1) Note: Additional guidance is given in LES - Data Protection Policy	No	Green	Complete initial and residual risk assessment and proceed to next check				Green
2 li ii e	oes this dataset contain formation that creates an orcemental security risk or assperate an existing risk?	Consider if the dataset contains information that is not already public by another source, e.g. existing NGED reports, though party disastes lice; a satisfies imagery), etc. If an alternative source exists then consider if the NGED dataset contains more databatic larginative, interpret, concerns, etc.). Where the dataset contains novel information, it should be assessed for new security issues such as sourthy of which is the source of the sour	Yes but mitigation in place	Red	Capture evidence and reason for misgation in the Supporting Information column and proceed to next check.		Dataset contains asset spatial data and identifiers	Restrict Access - Shared Data	Amber
3 li 3 di	oes the dataset contain formation that could amage the commercial interests of the company?	Data Best Practice Guidance described commercial information as "Outs that relates to the private administration of a business or data which was not collected as part of an obligation by a regulated monopoly and would not have been originated or captured effects for suchiny of the organization."  Commercial issues could be a track the pot not all benoting which would preclude sharing the same data under different series (a.g. exclusivity appresent, confidentially suppression, (ACID which is Concordine (e.g. origination) and originated and originated which is (or could be interpreted as).  Competition ACI 1998 [3], Emergines ACI 2002 [4] or Enterprise and Regulatory Retiran ACID [3]	No	Green	Complete initial and residual risk assessment and proceed to next check				Green
4 p	/ould sharing this dataset esult in a negative impact n consumers?	Consider if access to this data is likely to drive actions, intentional or otherwise, which will negatively impact the consumer.  For example, data about procusement assessment processes could drive up prices for products or services which increases coals for consumers.	No	Green	Complete initial and residual risk assessment and proceed to next check				Green
5 0	re there any other oncerns associated with haring this dataset not overed above?	If there are any other issues that require mitigation before publishing your distanct that haven been addressed by the questions above, these closely state the reason(s) in the Supporting information cell.  For example, specific legislative or regulatory barriers.	No	Green	Complete initial and residual risk assessment and proceed to next check				Green
6 h	a dataset quality caveat equired for end users?	Data quality is subjective. A dataset may be perfectly acceptable for one use case but entirely inadequate for another. Data accessing can be more objective but free mental many instances where the magnined perceion offlers acceptable for her and rule large but harder the quality issues or develop way to socke issues which can improve the quality of the underlying data. Newwork more immediance quality or accuracy) should be clearly documented and where there are uncertainties a indust quality disclaimer should be used.	No	Green	Complete initial and reviolated risk assessment and proceed to next check				Green

ation of our Data Studies Control of the Stud